Policy/Paragraph as proposed	TfL's comments	HNF Response
6.21 Applicants should	Paragraph 6.21 should make it clear that pre	Redraft as follows:
discuss, and	application advice for major developments	
agree, the need for and	should also be sought from Transport for	6.21
content or scope of these	London. We recommend that the	Applicants should discuss, and agree, the need for and content or
documents with the local	penultimate sentence is clarified as follows:	scope of these documents with the local planning authority and, for
planning authority at the pre-	'Applicants should discuss, and agree, the	major developments, Transport for London at the pre-application
application stage. This helps	need for and content or scope of these	stage.
to avoid abortive	documents with the local planning authority	
work by applicants and	and (for major developments) Transport	
planning officers, and the	for London at the pre-application stage.'	
need for later revisions to the		
documents or development		
proposals.		
6.25 It should be noted that	Paragraph 6.25 is potentially confusing	To address TfL's concerns and improve the policy, we will
paragraph 1 of Policy TT1 is	because redevelopment could refer to a	redraft TT1 (1) as follows:
concerned with additional	very large site that is redeveloped. As	, ,
motor vehicle use and its	written, it does not allow for	Due to the critical need to improve air quality and tackle
objective is to prevent	redevelopments that may have wider	congestion within the Plan Area:
additional vehicle traffic and	transport impacts beyond	dengoston within the reality wear
pollution. Therefore, if existing	vehicle trips. For example, any impact on	1. Planning proposals that can reasonably be expected to result
premises are refurbished or	public transport, walking, cycling should be	
redeveloped in a way which	assessed.	in a significant number of additional journeys to and from a
does not increase motor		proposal site post-completion should provide the following
vehicle use or pollution then		information at an appropriate level of detail to allow a robust
paragraph 1		assessment of the impact of the proposal on air quality, levels
of this Policy will not apply.		of pollution, and the local transport network:
However, applicants should		
also have regard for		a. A Transport Assessment (or Statement);
the London Plan's Policy T6		b. A full or outline Delivery and Servicing Management Plan
(L): Parking. This states that		
the existing parking		

Hampstead Neighbourhood Forum Responses to TfL comments regarding 6.21, 6.25, 6.26 and 6.28 15 February 2025

provision for refurbishments should be reduced and not reprovided at the same levels where this would exceed the standards for new development set out in that policy.

(DSMP);

c. An Air Quality Assessment;

which should together demonstrate (if necessary, through mitigation measures) that the impact of any such journeys will be offset so that approval will not lead to an overall reduction in air quality in the Plan Area or have a significant adverse impact on the local transport network.

Paragraph 6.25 to be revised as follows:

It should be noted that paragraph 1 of Policy TT1 is concerned with additional motor vehicle journeys and its objective is to prevent additional vehicle traffic, pollution, and adverse impacts on the local transport network. Therefore, if existing premises are refurbished or redeveloped in a way which does not increase motor vehicle use or pollution then paragraph 1 of this Policy will not apply. For redevelopment or refurbishment of existing premises, applicants should assess whether the proposal is likely to generate additional journeys or change travel patterns compared to the existing use. If there is no significant increase in journeys or change in travel patterns, then paragraph 1 of this Policy may not apply. However, applicants should also have regard for the London Plan's Policy T6 (L): Parking. This states that the existing parking provision for refurbishments should be reduced and not reprovided at the same levels where this would exceed the standards

out in that policy.

for new development set

However, all proposals should consider potential impacts on public transport, walking, and cycling, as well as motor vehicle use.

Applicants should also have regard for the London Plan's Policy T6:

Hampstead Neighbourhood Forum Responses to TfL comments regarding 6.21, 6.25, 6.26 and 6.28 15 February 2025

		Parking, which states that existing parking provision should be reduced and not re-provided at previous levels where this would exceed the standards set out in that policy.
6.26 Further guidance on Construction Management Plans can be found in Camden Planning Guidance – Amenity.	Additionally, paragraph 6.26 should refer to TfL guidance on Construction Logistics Plans.	6.26 to be revised to read: Further guidance on Construction Management Plans can be found in Camden Planning Guidance 6 – Amenity and TfL's guidance on Construction Logistics Plans.
6.28 The Plan encourages Camden Council to work with TfL and other organisations to discourage through traffic entering the Plan Area, particularly by promoting the following measures: a) Downgrading the A502 London Distributor Road given its unsuitability for heavy vehicles north of Hampstead village.	Paragraph 6.28 encourages the downgrading of the A502 to the north of Hampstead Village. Any proposals that affect the A502 should take into account that this is an important route for buses.	6.28 The Plan encourages Camden Council to work with TfL and other organisations to manage traffic flow through the Plan Area, particularly by promoting the following measures: a. Downgrading the A502 London Distributor Road given its unsuitability for heavy vehicles north of Hampstead village. Reviewing the classification of the A502 north of Hampstead village, considering its suitability for different vehicle types while preserving its function as a bus route.

Map sent by email 24 February 2025

Dear Intelligent Plans,

Please find attached a new copy of Map 5 as requested by the examiner. We hope that the delineation is now clearer.

In order to keep the email chain intact, I am also attaching the comments we previously sent you in response to the examiner's transport questions contained in her latest letter.

As the examiner requested, I will post her letter and our responses on our website.

With many thanks and best wishes,

Alex Nicoll

Hampstead Neighbourhood Forum

